# Building VOAs out of Higgs Branches

Christopher Beem University of Oxford

1903.07624 w/Carlo Meneghelli and Leonardo Rastelli 1907.xxxxx w/Wolfger Peelaers, Carlo Meneghelli, and Leonardo Rastelli 19xx.xxxxx w/Carlo Meneghelli, and Leonardo Rastelli

1 July 2019

String Math 2019 – Uppsala Universitet

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ =

I will describe new developments regarding the correspondence between four-dimensional  ${\cal N}=2$  superconformal field theories and vertex operator algebras/chiral algebras.

I will describe new developments regarding the correspondence between four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}=2$  superconformal field theories and vertex operator algebras/chiral algebras.

It has been apparent since the appearance of this correspondence in 2013 that the VOAs arising from four dimensions are highly structured and, in an appropriate sense, *special*.

イロト イヨト イヨト

I will describe new developments regarding the correspondence between four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}=2$  superconformal field theories and vertex operator algebras/chiral algebras.

It has been apparent since the appearance of this correspondence in 2013 that the VOAs arising from four dimensions are highly structured and, in an appropriate sense, *special*.

At String Math 2017 in Hamburg, I described work with Rastelli demonstrating one characterization of this specialness: the VOAs coming from four-dimensional SCFTs are *quasi-Lisse*, with associated variety matching the Higgs branch, so symplectic.

イロト イヨト イヨト

I will describe new developments regarding the correspondence between four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}=2$  superconformal field theories and vertex operator algebras/chiral algebras.

It has been apparent since the appearance of this correspondence in 2013 that the VOAs arising from four dimensions are highly structured and, in an appropriate sense, *special*.

At String Math 2017 in Hamburg, I described work with Rastelli demonstrating one characterization of this specialness: the VOAs coming from four-dimensional SCFTs are *quasi-Lisse*, with associated variety matching the Higgs branch, so symplectic.

In a superficial sense, this gives a geometric characterization of the associated VOA – it is a *chiral quantization* of the Higgs branch.

I will describe new developments regarding the correspondence between four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}=2$  superconformal field theories and vertex operator algebras/chiral algebras.

It has been apparent since the appearance of this correspondence in 2013 that the VOAs arising from four dimensions are highly structured and, in an appropriate sense, *special*.

At String Math 2017 in Hamburg, I described work with Rastelli demonstrating one characterization of this specialness: the VOAs coming from four-dimensional SCFTs are *quasi-Lisse*, with associated variety matching the Higgs branch, so symplectic.

In a superficial sense, this gives a geometric characterization of the associated VOA – it is a *chiral quantization* of the Higgs branch.

However, this is not a particularly constructive notion. It is just a fancy term to describe precisely the situation as outlined.

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Question:

Is there a stronger sense in which these VOAs are encoded in the physics of their respective Higgs branches?

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト = 注

In today's talk I will describe efforts to answer this question in the affirmative (following on some results previewed by Leonardo Rastelli at String Math last year).

In today's talk I will describe efforts to answer this question in the affirmative (following on some results previewed by Leonardo Rastelli at String Math last year).

In particular, we have the following standing conjecture, with many supporting examples.

In today's talk I will describe efforts to answer this question in the affirmative (following on some results previewed by Leonardo Rastelli at String Math last year).

In particular, we have the following standing conjecture, with many supporting examples.

#### Free Field Conjecture [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli]

The VOA associated to an  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFT admits a "free field realization" in terms of:

- A lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{d,d}$  for a lattice  $\Pi$  of signature (d,d) with  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{M}_H$ .
- A  $C_2$ -cofinite VOA  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}_{IR}]$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_{IR}$  is the infrared SCFT supported at a *generic* point on the Higgs branch (a point of maximal Higgsing).

イロト イヨト イヨト

In today's talk I will describe efforts to answer this question in the affirmative (following on some results previewed by Leonardo Rastelli at String Math last year).

In particular, we have the following standing conjecture, with many supporting examples.

Free Field Conjecture (improved version) [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli]

The VOA associated to an  $\mathcal{N}=2$  SCFT admits a "free field realization" in terms of:

- A lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{d,d}$  for a lattice  $\Pi$  of signature (d, d) with  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{M}_H$  with lattice momenta restricted to an isotropic sublattice of  $\Pi_{d,d}$ .
- A  $C_2$ -cofinite VOA  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}_{IR}]$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_{IR}$  is the infrared SCFT supported at a *generic* point on the Higgs branch (a point of maximal Higgsing).

In today's talk I will describe efforts to answer this question in the affirmative (following on some results previewed by Leonardo Rastelli at String Math last year).

In particular, we have the following standing conjecture, with many supporting examples.

Free Field Conjecture (improved version) [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli]

The VOA associated to an  $\mathcal{N}=2$  SCFT admits a "free field realization" in terms of:

- A lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{d,d}$  for a lattice  $\Pi$  of signature (d,d) with  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{M}_H$  with lattice momenta restricted to an isotropic sublattice of  $\Pi_{d,d}$ .
- A  $C_2$ -cofinite VOA  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}_{IR}]$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_{IR}$  is the infrared SCFT supported at a *generic* point on the Higgs branch (a point of maximal Higgsing).

These are "small", or (probably) "minimal" free field realizations, using fewer ingredients than one might expect possible.

In today's talk I will describe efforts to answer this question in the affirmative (following on some results previewed by Leonardo Rastelli at String Math last year).

In particular, we have the following standing conjecture, with many supporting examples.

Free Field Conjecture (improved version) [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli]

The VOA associated to an  $\mathcal{N}=2$  SCFT admits a "free field realization" in terms of:

- A lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{d,d}$  for a lattice  $\Pi$  of signature (d,d) with  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{M}_H$  with lattice momenta restricted to an isotropic sublattice of  $\Pi_{d,d}$ .
- A  $C_2$ -cofinite VOA  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}_{IR}]$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_{IR}$  is the infrared SCFT supported at a *generic* point on the Higgs branch (a point of maximal Higgsing).

These are "small", or (probably) "minimal" free field realizations, using fewer ingredients than one might expect possible.

The particular form of the free field construction encodes transparently the structure of the Higgs branch as a holomorphic-symplectic variety.

## Plan for the talk

- Review of VOA/SCFT correspondence
- Rudiments of free field realizations and a key example
- Rank-one exceptional series: minimal nilpotent orbits
- Rank-two exceptional series: two-instanton moduli spaces
- Comments and open questions

Long ago in 2013 we gave a construction of a vertex operator algebra given an  $\mathcal{N}=2$  superconformal field theory in four dimensions.

$$\mathsf{4d}\ \mathcal{N} = 2\ \mathsf{SCFT}\ \mathcal{T} \qquad \xrightarrow{\mathbb{V}} \qquad \mathsf{VOA}\ \mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$$

This is a concrete construction that takes place within the OPE algebra of the parent SCFT by way of a carefully chosen cohomological reduction.

Long ago in 2013 we gave a construction of a vertex operator algebra given an  $\mathcal{N}=2$  superconformal field theory in four dimensions.

$$\mathsf{4d}\ \mathcal{N} = 2\ \mathsf{SCFT}\ \mathcal{T} \qquad \xrightarrow{\mathbb{V}} \qquad \mathsf{VOA}\ \mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$$

This is a concrete construction that takes place within the OPE algebra of the parent SCFT by way of a carefully chosen cohomological reduction.

Consequently, a huge amount of detailed algebraic information about the full SCFT is encoded in the associated VOA, but it is often a challenge to extract it!

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Start with  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFTs qua OPE algebras,

$$\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2) \sim \sum_k \frac{c_{12}{}^k \mathcal{O}_k(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_k}} , \qquad x_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}^4 .$$

Start with  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFTs qua OPE algebras,

$$\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2) \sim \sum_k \frac{c_{12}{}^k \mathcal{O}_k(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_k}} , \qquad x_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}^4 .$$

Local operators  $\{O_i(x)\}$  organized in representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  superconformal algebra, with bosonic subalgebra

 $\mathfrak{su}(2,2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_R \times \mathfrak{u}(1)_r$ 

along with sixteen fermionic symmetries,

- Poincaré supercharges:  $Q^I_{\alpha}$  and  $\widetilde{Q}^I_{\dot{\alpha}}$  with  $I = 1, 2, \ \alpha = \pm, \ \dot{\alpha} = \pm$ .
- Special conformal supercharges:  $S_I^{\alpha}$  and  $\widetilde{S}_I^{\dot{\alpha}}$ .

Start with  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFTs qua OPE algebras,

$$\mathcal{O}_1(x_1)\mathcal{O}_2(x_2) \sim \sum_k \frac{c_{12}{}^k \mathcal{O}_k(x_2)}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \Delta_k}} , \qquad x_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R}^4 .$$

Local operators  $\{O_i(x)\}$  organized in representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  superconformal algebra, with bosonic subalgebra

 $\mathfrak{su}(2,2) \times \mathfrak{su}(2)_R \times \mathfrak{u}(1)_r$ 

along with sixteen fermionic symmetries,

- Poincaré supercharges:  $Q^I_{\alpha}$  and  $\widetilde{Q}^I_{\dot{\alpha}}$  with  $I = 1, 2, \ \alpha = \pm, \ \dot{\alpha} = \pm$ .
- Special conformal supercharges:  $S_I^{\alpha}$  and  $\widetilde{S}_I^{\dot{\alpha}}$ .

We label operators by their charges  $(E, j_1, j_2, R, r)$  under the Cartan subalgebra.

The full OPE algebra is deeply complicated object and is the subject of, *e.g.*, numerical conformal bootstrap analysis.

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

Commutative algebras in cohomology

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

Commutative algebras in cohomology

• Coulomb chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$ 

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

#### Commutative algebras in cohomology

Coulomb chiral ring:

$$\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$$

• Higgs chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$ 

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

#### Commutative algebras in cohomology

- Coulomb chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Higgs chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Hall-Littlewood chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}} = 2R_{\mathcal{O}} + r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

#### Commutative algebras in cohomology

- Coulomb chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Higgs chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Hall-Littlewood chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}+r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Schur algebra:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}+(j_1+j_2)_{\mathcal{O}}\ ,\ r_{\mathcal{O}}=(j_1-j_2)_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

More immediately tractable algebras can be extracted by thinking of the OPE algebra as a *dg-OPE algebra* with respect to a nilpotent element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2,2|2)$  and passing to the cohomology.

#### Commutative algebras in cohomology

- Coulomb chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Higgs chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Hall-Littlewood chiral ring:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}} = 2R_{\mathcal{O}} + r_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$
- Schur algebra:  $\{\mathcal{O}(x)|E_{\mathcal{O}}=2R_{\mathcal{O}}+(j_1+j_2)_{\mathcal{O}}, \ r_{\mathcal{O}}=(j_1-j_2)_{\mathcal{O}}\}.$

The last of these actually has the structure of a commutative (Poisson) vertex algebra, and arises in the *holomorphic-topological twist* of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCFTs. [Kapustin 2006]

With conformal invariance, acquire additional fermionic symmetries and can define new differentials.

With conformal invariance, acquire additional fermionic symmetries and can define new differentials.

Associated vertex operator algebra:  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$ 

• Arises upon taking cohomology of mixed supercharge,

 $\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{-}^1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}^{-}$ .

- Non-commutative vertex operator algebra.
- Quantization of Schur algebra; underlying vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  is Schur operators.

イロト イヨト イヨト

With conformal invariance, acquire additional fermionic symmetries and can define new differentials.

Associated vertex operator algebra:  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$ 

• Arises upon taking cohomology of mixed supercharge,

 $\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{-}^1 + \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{1}^{-}$ .

- Non-commutative vertex operator algebra.
- Quantization of Schur algebra; underlying vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  is Schur operators.

For my purposes, a VOA structure on  $\mathcal{V}$  amounts to an (associative) meromorphic OPE algebra in two dimensions.

$$\mathcal{O}_1(z)\mathcal{O}_2(w) \sim \sum_k \frac{c_{12}{}^k \mathcal{O}_k(w)}{(z-w)^{h_1+h_2-h_k}}$$

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

1 July 2019 10 / 36

_				$\sim$	
		ner i	Reem I		
~	3100		Deenn		i u u

Each operator can be expanded in a Laurent series,

$$\mathcal{O}(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n \mathcal{O}_{-h-n} , \qquad \mathcal{O}_n \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}) ,$$

Each operator can be expanded in a Laurent series,

$$\mathcal{O}(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n \mathcal{O}_{-h-n} , \qquad \mathcal{O}_n \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}) ,$$

The normally ordered product of two operators is defined at the origin according to

$$NO(a,b)(0) \equiv (ab)(0) = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} a(z)b(0) := a_{-h_a} b_{-h_b} |\Omega\rangle .$$

 $NO(\cdot, \cdot)$  is generally *non-commutative* and *non-associative*.

Each operator can be expanded in a Laurent series,

$$\mathcal{O}(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n \mathcal{O}_{-h-n} , \qquad \mathcal{O}_n \in \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{V}) ,$$

The normally ordered product of two operators is defined at the origin according to

$$NO(a,b)(0) \equiv (ab)(0) = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} a(z)b(0) := a_{-h_a} b_{-h_b} |\Omega\rangle .$$

 $NO(\cdot, \cdot)$  is generally *non-commutative* and *non-associative*.

For future convenience, introduce secondary bracket which captures simple pole in OPE,

$$\{a,b\}(w) = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} a(z)b(w) \; .$$

# Structural Properties of the Associated VOA

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

# Structural Properties of the Associated VOA

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

•  $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued conformal grading (uncorrelated with Grassmann parity).
Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

- $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued conformal grading (uncorrelated with Grassmann parity).
- $\operatorname{Vir}_{-12c_{4d}}$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_z$  (note  $c_{4d} > 0$ ).

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

- $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued conformal grading (uncorrelated with Grassmann parity).
- $\operatorname{Vir}_{-12c_{4d}}$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_z$  (note  $c_{4d} > 0$ ).
- $V_{-\frac{k_{4d}}{2}}(\mathfrak{g})$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{g}_F$  flavour symmetry (note  $k_{4d} > 0$ ).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

- $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued conformal grading (uncorrelated with Grassmann parity).
- $\operatorname{Vir}_{-12c_{4d}}$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_z$  (note  $c_{4d} > 0$ ).
- $V_{-\frac{k_{4d}}{2}}(\mathfrak{g})$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{g}_F$  flavour symmetry (note  $k_{4d} > 0$ ).
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HL}}$  generators (in particular  $\mathcal{R}_{H}$  generators)  $\Longrightarrow$  strong  $\mathcal{V}$ -generators .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

- $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued conformal grading (uncorrelated with Grassmann parity).
- $\operatorname{Vir}_{-12c_{4d}}$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_z$  (note  $c_{4d} > 0$ ).
- $V_{-\frac{k_{4d}}{2}}(\mathfrak{g})$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{g}_F$  flavour symmetry (note  $k_{4d} > 0$ ).
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HL}}$  generators (in particular  $\mathcal{R}_{H}$  generators)  $\Longrightarrow$  strong  $\mathcal{V}$ -generators .
- Schur index ⇒ VOA character,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(q) := q^{c_{4d}/2} \mathrm{STr}_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{S}^3)} q^{E-R} = \mathrm{STr}_{\mathcal{V}} q^{L_0 - c/24} =: \chi_{\mathcal{V}}(q)$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

- $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued conformal grading (uncorrelated with Grassmann parity).
- $\operatorname{Vir}_{-12c_{4d}}$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)_z$  (note  $c_{4d} > 0$ ).
- $V_{-\frac{k_{4d}}{2}}(\mathfrak{g})$  affine enhancement of  $\mathfrak{g}_F$  flavour symmetry (note  $k_{4d}>0$ ).
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{HL}}$  generators (in particular  $\mathcal{R}_{H}$  generators)  $\Longrightarrow$  strong  $\mathcal{V}$ -generators .
- Schur index ⇒ VOA character,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Sch}}(q) := q^{c_{4d}/2} \mathrm{STr}_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{S}^3)} q^{E-R} = \mathrm{STr}_{\mathcal{V}} q^{L_0 - c/24} =: \chi_{\mathcal{V}}(q)$$

• Null states are removed (*i.e.*, always in simple quotient).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

•  $\mathcal{V}$  is triply graded as a vector space by R, r, and  $h = E - R = R + j_1 + j_2$ :

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{h,R,r} \mathcal{V}_{h,R,r} \; .$$

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

•  $\mathcal{V}$  is triply graded as a vector space by R, r, and  $h = E - R = R + j_1 + j_2$ :

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{h,R,r} \mathcal{V}_{h,R,r} \; .$$

• OPE violates R conservation but is compatible with the induced *filtration* 

$$\mathcal{F}_{h,R,r} = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \mathcal{V}_{h,R-k,r} \; .$$

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

•  $\mathcal{V}$  is triply graded as a vector space by R, r, and  $h = E - R = R + j_1 + j_2$ :

$$\mathcal{V} = igoplus_{h,R,r} \mathcal{V}_{h,R,r} \; .$$

• OPE violates R conservation but is compatible with the induced *filtration* 

$$\mathcal{F}_{h,R,r} = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \mathcal{V}_{h,R-k,r} \; .$$

Associated graded is our friend the Schur algebra

 $\mathrm{gr}_F\mathcal{V}\cong\mathsf{Schur}\;\mathsf{Algebra}$ 

Recall general properties that follow directly from the construction:

•  $\mathcal{V}$  is triply graded as a vector space by R, r, and  $h = E - R = R + j_1 + j_2$ :

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{h,R,r} \mathcal{V}_{h,R,r} \; .$$

• OPE violates R conservation but is compatible with the induced *filtration* 

$$\mathcal{F}_{h,R,r} = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \mathcal{V}_{h,R-k,r} \; .$$

Associated graded is our friend the Schur algebra

 $\operatorname{gr}_F \mathcal{V} \cong \mathsf{Schur} \mathsf{Algebra}$ 

• By further restricting to subspaces with h = R or h = R + r, we recover  $\mathcal{R}_H$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{HL}$  (as Poisson algebras).

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

This construction of  $\mathcal{R}_H$  requires that we understand  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$  as an *R*-filtered VOA to begin with, whereas in practice we rarely have access to the filtration.

This construction of  $\mathcal{R}_H$  requires that we understand  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$  as an *R*-filtered VOA to begin with, whereas in practice we rarely have access to the filtration.

We have conjectured an alternative method to extract  $\mathcal{R}_H$  canonically from the VOA.

 $C_2(\mathcal{V}) := \operatorname{span} \{ a_{-h_a-1}b, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{V} \}$  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}} := (\mathcal{V}/C_2(\mathcal{V}), \operatorname{NO}(,), \{\cdot, \cdot\}).$ 

Here we are essentially "removing derivatives" from the VOA. The resulting  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is a commutative Poisson algebra by construction: *Zhu's commutative algebra* 

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

This construction of  $\mathcal{R}_H$  requires that we understand  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$  as an *R*-filtered VOA to begin with, whereas in practice we rarely have access to the filtration.

We have conjectured an alternative method to extract  $\mathcal{R}_H$  canonically from the VOA.

 $\begin{aligned} C_2(\mathcal{V}) &:= \operatorname{span} \left\{ a_{-h_a - 1} b , \quad a , b \in \mathcal{V} \right\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}} &:= \left( \mathcal{V} / C_2(\mathcal{V}) , \operatorname{NO}( , ) , \{\cdot, \cdot\} \right) . \end{aligned}$ 

Here we are essentially "removing derivatives" from the VOA. The resulting  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is a commutative Poisson algebra by construction: *Zhu's commutative algebra* 

Higgs Branch Conjecture [CB, Rastelli]

 $\mathcal{M}_H \equiv \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_H) = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_V)_{\operatorname{red}} \equiv X_V$  "Associated Variety"

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

This construction of  $\mathcal{R}_H$  requires that we understand  $\mathbb{V}[\mathcal{T}]$  as an *R*-filtered VOA to begin with, whereas in practice we rarely have access to the filtration.

We have conjectured an alternative method to extract  $\mathcal{R}_H$  canonically from the VOA.

 $\begin{aligned} C_2(\mathcal{V}) &:= \operatorname{span} \left\{ a_{-h_a - 1} b , \quad a , b \in \mathcal{V} \right\} \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}} &:= \left( \mathcal{V} / C_2(\mathcal{V}) , \operatorname{NO}( , ) , \{\cdot, \cdot\} \right) . \end{aligned}$ 

Here we are essentially "removing derivatives" from the VOA. The resulting  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is a commutative Poisson algebra by construction: *Zhu's commutative algebra* 

Higgs Branch Conjecture [CB, Rastelli]

 $\mathcal{M}_H \equiv \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_H) = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_V)_{\operatorname{red}} \equiv X_V$  "Associated Variety"

VOAs whose associated varieties are symplectic dubbed *quasi-Lisse* by T. Arakawa and K. Kawasetsu. Strong constraint (*e.g.*, modularity of characters of ordinary modules).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

## VOAs from Higgs branches

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

It is often useful to realize a (potentially complicated) VOA as a vertex operator sub-algebra of a simpler VOA, such as a lattice VOA or some collection of  $(\beta, \gamma)$  or free fermion VOAs. Recall a couple of famous examples.

## Free field realizations

[CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli (2019)]

It is often useful to realize a (potentially complicated) VOA as a vertex operator sub-algebra of a simpler VOA, such as a lattice VOA or some collection of  $(\beta, \gamma)$  or free fermion VOAs. Recall a couple of famous examples.

Virasoro from chiral boson (Feigin-Fuchs)

Given a chiral boson VOA

 $\varphi(z)\varphi(w)\sim \log(z-w)$ 

Realize Virasoro algebra of general central charge  $c=1-12\alpha^2$  via background charge method,

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi')^2 + \alpha \varphi'' .$$

It is often useful to realize a (potentially complicated) VOA as a vertex operator sub-algebra of a simpler VOA, such as a lattice VOA or some collection of  $(\beta, \gamma)$  or free fermion VOAs. Recall a couple of famous examples.

Affine Kac-Moody VOA from free bosons (Wakimoto; Feigin-Frenkel)

Given three bosons [two realized as (1,0),  $(\beta\gamma)$  system]:

$$\beta(z)\gamma(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w}$$
,  $\varphi(z)\varphi(w) \sim \log(z-w)$ 

Affine  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  currents at level k given as follows,

$$J^{+}(z) = \beta(z) ,$$
  

$$J^{3}(z) = -2(\beta\gamma) - \sqrt{2(k+2)}\varphi' ,$$
  

$$J^{-}(z) = -(\gamma\gamma\beta) - \sqrt{2(k+2)}(\gamma\varphi') + k\gamma' .$$

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

It is often useful to realize a (potentially complicated) VOA as a vertex operator sub-algebra of a simpler VOA, such as a lattice VOA or some collection of  $(\beta, \gamma)$  or free fermion VOAs. Recall a couple of famous examples.

Affine Kac-Moody VOA from free bosons (Wakimoto; Feigin-Frenkel)

Given three bosons [two realized as (1,0),  $(\beta\gamma)$  system]:

$$\beta(z)\gamma(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w}$$
,  $\varphi(z)\varphi(w) \sim \log(z-w)$ 

Affine  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$  currents at level k given as follows,

$$\begin{split} J^{+}(z) &= \beta(z) ,\\ J^{3}(z) &= -2(\beta\gamma) - \sqrt{2(k+2)}\varphi' ,\\ J^{-}(z) &= -(\gamma\gamma\beta) - \sqrt{2(k+2)}(\gamma\varphi') + k\gamma' . \end{split}$$

**Remark**: For any simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ , this is generalized to a construction involving  $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$  chiral bosons and  $\frac{1}{2}(d_{\mathfrak{g}} - r_{\mathfrak{g}}) \ (\beta, \gamma)$  pairs.

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

In these examples, the *universal* version of the relevant VOA is generally being constructed.

The central charge/level is tunable; when tuned to a value where the VOA in question should acquire singular vectors in its vacuum Verma module, the quotient needs to be taken by hand.

In these examples, the *universal* version of the relevant VOA is generally being constructed.

The central charge/level is tunable; when tuned to a value where the VOA in question should acquire singular vectors in its vacuum Verma module, the quotient needs to be taken by hand.

**Remark**: An exception is the Wakimoto/Feigin-Frenkel realization at the critical level  $k = -h^{\vee}$ , where the chiral bosons decouple and there is a realization in terms of just  $(\beta, \gamma)$  pairs.

The latter is the type of construction we find in our general story!

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

In these examples, the *universal* version of the relevant VOA is generally being constructed.

The central charge/level is tunable; when tuned to a value where the VOA in question should acquire singular vectors in its vacuum Verma module, the quotient needs to be taken by hand.

**Remark**: An exception is the Wakimoto/Feigin-Frenkel realization at the critical level  $k = -h^{\vee}$ , where the chiral bosons decouple and there is a realization in terms of just  $(\beta, \gamma)$  pairs.

The latter is the type of construction we find in our general story!

[Critical-level AKM VOAs arise via the SCFT/VOA correspondence when considering the OPE algebra supported on real co-dimension two defects in six-dimensional (2,0) theories.]

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

Paradigm case for our constructions appeared 15 years ago in work by Drazen Adamovic.

Paradigm case for our constructions appeared 15 years ago in work by Drazen Adamovic.

Consider  $(A_1, A_3)$  Argyres-Douglas theory. This has for its associated VOA a fractional level (admissible) AKM VOA

 $\mathbb{V}[AD_{(A_1,A_3)}] = V_{-4/3}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Paradigm case for our constructions appeared 15 years ago in work by Drazen Adamovic.

Consider  $(A_1, A_3)$  Argyres-Douglas theory. This has for its associated VOA a fractional level (admissible) AKM VOA

 $\mathbb{V}[AD_{(A_1,A_3)}]=V_{-4/3}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ 

The associated variety for this VOA is the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ ,

 $X_{V_{-4/3}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))} = \mathcal{M}_H[AD_{(A_1,A_3)}] = \overline{\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))} \cong \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 \ .$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Paradigm case for our constructions appeared 15 years ago in work by Drazen Adamovic.

Consider  $(A_1, A_3)$  Argyres-Douglas theory. This has for its associated VOA a fractional level (admissible) AKM VOA

 $\mathbb{V}[AD_{(A_1,A_3)}]=V_{-4/3}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ 

The associated variety for this VOA is the nilpotent cone of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ ,

$$X_{V_{-4/3}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))} = \mathcal{M}_H[AD_{(A_1,A_3)}] = \overline{\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{sl}(2))} \cong \mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 \ .$$

Singular vector at level h = 3 of the form  $(J^A T^{Sug} + ...)$  generates all nulls.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Adamovic constructed the simple AKM VOA at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^+ = e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad J^3 = k \varphi' , \quad J^- = -\left( \frac{k^2}{4} (\delta')^2 - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta'' 
ight) e^{-\delta - \varphi} .$$

Adamovic constructed the simple AKM VOA at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^+ = e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad J^3 = k \varphi' , \quad J^- = -\left(\frac{k^2}{4} (\delta')^2 - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta''\right) e^{-\delta - \varphi} .$$

We will interpret this construction geometrically as follows.

Adamovic constructed the *simple AKM VOA* at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^+ = e^{\delta + \varphi}$$
,  $J^3 = k \varphi'$ ,  $J^- = -\left(\frac{k^2}{4} (\delta')^2 - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta''\right) e^{-\delta - \varphi}$ .

We will interpret this construction geometrically as follows.

•  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the algebraic variety  $\{XY + \frac{1}{4}Z^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ .

Adamovic constructed the *simple AKM VOA* at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^{+} = e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad J^{3} = k \varphi' , \quad J^{-} = -\left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} (\delta')^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta''\right) e^{-\delta - \varphi} .$$

We will interpret this construction geometrically as follows.

- $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the algebraic variety  $\{XY + \frac{1}{4}Z^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ .
- Take principal open subset  $(U_X \subset X_V) = \{p \in X_V \mid X(p) \neq 0\}.$

Adamovic constructed the simple AKM VOA at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^{+} = e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad J^{3} = k \varphi' , \quad J^{-} = -\left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} (\delta')^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta''\right) e^{-\delta - \varphi} .$$

We will interpret this construction geometrically as follows.

- $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the algebraic variety  $\{XY + \frac{1}{4}Z^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ .
- Take principal open subset  $(U_X \subset X_V) = \{p \in X_V \mid X(p) \neq 0\}.$
- Here we can solve for  $Y = -\frac{1}{4}Z^2X^{-1}$ , so  $U_X \cong \mathbb{C}_Z \times \mathbb{C}_X^{\times}$ .

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Adamovic constructed the simple AKM VOA at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^{+} = e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad J^{3} = k \varphi' , \quad J^{-} = -\left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} (\delta')^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta''\right) e^{-\delta - \varphi} .$$

We will interpret this construction geometrically as follows.

- $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the algebraic variety  $\{XY + \frac{1}{4}Z^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ .
- Take principal open subset  $(U_X \subset X_V) = \{p \in X_V \mid X(p) \neq 0\}.$
- Here we can solve for  $Y = -\frac{1}{4}Z^2X^{-1}$ , so  $U_X \cong \mathbb{C}_Z \times \mathbb{C}_X^{\times}$ .
- The Poisson bracket lets us identify  $U_X \cong T^* \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Adamovic constructed the *simple AKM VOA* at this level in terms of a lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$J^{+} = e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad J^{3} = k \varphi' , \quad J^{-} = -\left(\frac{k^{2}}{4} (\delta')^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \delta''\right) e^{-\delta - \varphi} .$$

We will interpret this construction geometrically as follows.

- $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the algebraic variety  $\{XY + \frac{1}{4}Z^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ .
- Take principal open subset  $(U_X \subset X_V) = \{p \in X_V \mid X(p) \neq 0\}.$
- Here we can solve for  $Y = -\frac{1}{4}Z^2X^{-1}$ , so  $U_X \cong \mathbb{C}_Z \times \mathbb{C}_X^{\times}$ .
- The Poisson bracket lets us identify  $U_X \cong T^* \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

The free field realization is an *affinization* of this realization of  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2]$  in  $\mathbb{C}[T^*\mathbb{C}^\times]$ . Here we are making replacements

$$X \longleftrightarrow e^{\delta + \varphi} , \quad Z \longleftrightarrow \frac{k}{2} (\varphi' - \delta') + \dots , \quad Y \longleftrightarrow \frac{-k^2}{4} \left(\frac{\varphi' - \delta'}{2}\right)^2 e^{-\delta - \varphi} + \dots$$

1 July 2019 20 / 36

#### A free field *R*-filtration [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli (2019)]

As is familiar from Wakimoto, when affinizing there may/will be "quantum corrections" required in order for the OPEs close correctly, hence the ellipses.

#### A free field *R*-filtration [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli (2019)]

As is familiar from Wakimoto, when affinizing there may/will be "quantum corrections" required in order for the OPEs close correctly, hence the ellipses.

We can formalize the notion of corrections by using (surprise) a filtration.

#### A free field *R*-filtration [CB, Meneghelli, Rastelli (2019)]

As is familiar from Wakimoto, when affinizing there may/will be "quantum corrections" required in order for the OPEs close correctly, hence the ellipses.

We can formalize the notion of corrections by using (surprise) a filtration.

An isotropic subalgebra  $ISO V\Pi_{d,d} \subset V\Pi_{d,d}$  admits a natural (good) filtration. We will identify with the physical *R*-filtration coming from four dimensions, and use it to introduce a formal  $\hbar$  to organize "quantum" corrections.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

As is familiar from Wakimoto, when affinizing there may/will be "quantum corrections" required in order for the OPEs close correctly, hence the ellipses.

We can formalize the notion of corrections by using (surprise) a filtration.

An isotropic subalgebra  $ISO V\Pi_{d,d} \subset V\Pi_{d,d}$  admits a natural (good) filtration. We will identify with the physical *R*-filtration coming from four dimensions, and use it to introduce a formal  $\hbar$  to organize "quantum" corrections.

Define a monomial basis for  ${\rm ISO}(\mathbb{V}\Pi_{d,d})$  using free field normal ordering. Assign  $\tilde{R}\text{-}{\rm grading}$  according to

- o  $\tilde{R}[e^{n(\varphi+\delta)}]=n$  ,
- o  $\tilde{R}[\partial^n(\varphi+\delta)]=0$  ,
- o  $\tilde{R}[\partial^n(\varphi-\delta)]=1$  ,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <
As is familiar from Wakimoto, when affinizing there may/will be "quantum corrections" required in order for the OPEs close correctly, hence the ellipses.

We can formalize the notion of corrections by using (surprise) a filtration.

An isotropic subalgebra  $ISO V\Pi_{d,d} \subset V\Pi_{d,d}$  admits a natural (good) filtration. We will identify with the physical *R*-filtration coming from four dimensions, and use it to introduce a formal  $\hbar$  to organize "quantum" corrections.

Define a monomial basis for  ${\rm ISO}(\mathbb{V}\Pi_{d,d})$  using free field normal ordering. Assign  $\tilde{R}\text{-}{\rm grading}$  according to

- $\tilde{R}[e^{n(\varphi+\delta)}] = n$ ,
- $\tilde{R}[\partial^n(\varphi+\delta)]=0$  ,
- o  ${\tilde R}[\partial^n(\varphi-\delta)]=1$  ,

Take the associated filtration of this grading as the R-filtration, quantum corrections are subleading in filtration.

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

Using this geometric intuition, we found a direct generalization of Adamovic's constructions to the full *Deligne-Cvitanović exceptional series* of SCFTs/VOAs.

Using this geometric intuition, we found a direct generalization of Adamovic's constructions to the full *Deligne-Cvitanović exceptional series* of SCFTs/VOAs.

These are the rank-one F-theory SCFTs, *i.e.*, the theory supported on a D3 brane probing a singular fiber of an elliptic K3 surface in F-theory.

Using this geometric intuition, we found a direct generalization of Adamovic's constructions to the full *Deligne-Cvitanović exceptional series* of SCFTs/VOAs.

These are the rank-one F-theory SCFTs, *i.e.*, the theory supported on a D3 brane probing a singular fiber of an elliptic K3 surface in F-theory.

Their associated VOAs are  $V_{\frac{-h^{\vee}-6}{6}}(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} \in \{\mathfrak{a}_0,\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2,\mathfrak{d}_4,\mathfrak{e}_6,\mathfrak{e}_7,\mathfrak{e}_8\}$  .

Using this geometric intuition, we found a direct generalization of Adamovic's constructions to the full *Deligne-Cvitanović exceptional series* of SCFTs/VOAs.

These are the rank-one F-theory SCFTs, *i.e.*, the theory supported on a D3 brane probing a singular fiber of an elliptic K3 surface in F-theory.

Their associated VOAs are  $V_{\frac{-h^{\vee}-6}{6}}(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} \in \{\mathfrak{a}_0,\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2,\mathfrak{d}_4,\mathfrak{e}_6,\mathfrak{e}_7,\mathfrak{e}_8\}$ .

#### Remarks

- $\mathfrak{a}_0$  is a formal entry in this list, it corresponds to the  $\operatorname{Vir}_{(2,5)}$  VOA.
- $g_2$  and  $f_4$  also seem to belong to this list from a VOA perspective, though they have no known four-dimensional ancestors.

Using this geometric intuition, we found a direct generalization of Adamovic's constructions to the full *Deligne-Cvitanović exceptional series* of SCFTs/VOAs.

These are the rank-one F-theory SCFTs, *i.e.*, the theory supported on a D3 brane probing a singular fiber of an elliptic K3 surface in F-theory.

Their associated VOAs are  $V_{\frac{-h^{\vee}-6}{6}}(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $\mathfrak{g} \in {\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \mathfrak{d}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{e}_8}$ .

#### Remarks

- $\mathfrak{a}_0$  is a formal entry in this list, it corresponds to the  $\operatorname{Vir}_{(2,5)}$  VOA.
- $g_2$  and  $f_4$  also seem to belong to this list from a VOA perspective, though they have no known four-dimensional ancestors.

The associated variety/Higgs branch is  $\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})$  (we formally set  $\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{a}_0) = \{ pt. \} )$ .

Nontrivially, the minimal nilpotent orbits admit a description in a principal open subset that generalizes the  $a_1$  case.

Nontrivially, the minimal nilpotent orbits admit a description in a principal open subset that generalizes the  $a_1$  case.

Write  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\theta} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\natural}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathfrak{R})$ , take  $e_{\theta} \neq 0$ .

Nontrivially, the minimal nilpotent orbits admit a description in a principal open subset that generalizes the  $a_1$  case.

Write  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\theta} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\natural}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathfrak{R})$ , take  $e_{\theta} \neq 0$ .

Theis gives an open patch that looks like a flat  $\mathfrak{R}$  bundle over  $T^*\mathbb{C}_{e_{\theta}}^{\times}$  with a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  holonomy around the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

Nontrivially, the minimal nilpotent orbits admit a description in a principal open subset that generalizes the  $a_1$  case.

Write  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\theta} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\natural}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathfrak{R})$ , take  $e_{\theta} \neq 0$ .

Theis gives an open patch that looks like a flat  $\mathfrak{R}$  bundle over  $T^*\mathbb{C}_{e_{\theta}}^{\times}$  with a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  holonomy around the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

Free field construction uses  $2h^{\vee} - 2$  free bosons (most expressed as symplectic bosons)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Nontrivially, the minimal nilpotent orbits admit a description in a principal open subset that generalizes the  $a_1$  case.

Write  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{sl}(2)_{\theta} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\natural}) \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathfrak{R})$ , take  $e_{\theta} \neq 0$ .

Theis gives an open patch that looks like a flat  $\mathfrak{R}$  bundle over  $T^*\mathbb{C}_{e_{\theta}}^{\times}$  with a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  holonomy around the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ .

Free field construction uses  $2h^{\vee} - 2$  free bosons (most expressed as symplectic bosons)

• An isotropic subalgebra of the Lorentzian lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$\bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( V_{\partial \varphi} \otimes V_{\partial \delta} \right) e^{\frac{n}{2} (\delta + \varphi)} \; .$$

•  $2h^{\vee} - 4$  symplectic bosons  $\{\xi_A\}$  associated to the symplectic vector space  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

Can construct  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})]$  as Poisson subalgebra of functions on this cotangent bundle as before and affinize.

Can construct  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})]$  as Poisson subalgebra of functions on this cotangent bundle as before and affinize.

$$\begin{split} e_{\theta}(z) &= e^{\delta + \varphi} , \qquad e_{A}(z) = \xi_{A} \ e^{\frac{\delta + \varphi}{2}} , \qquad J_{\alpha}^{\natural}(z) \coloneqq T_{\alpha}^{AB} \xi_{A} \xi_{B} \quad \left(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(\mathfrak{R})\right) . \\ h_{\theta}(z) &= \frac{k}{2} \partial \varphi , \quad f_{\theta}(z) = \left(S^{\natural} - \left(\left(\frac{k}{2} \partial \delta\right)^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \partial^{2} \delta\right)\right) \left(e^{-(\delta + \varphi)}\right) , \\ S^{\natural} &= \begin{cases} (k+2)T^{\natural} , \qquad T^{\natural} = -T_{\mathsf{Sug}}[\mathfrak{g}^{\natural}] + \partial \xi \, \Omega^{-1} \xi , \quad k \neq -2 , \\ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(J_{1}^{\natural}, J_{1}^{\natural}\right)_{A_{1}} + \left(J_{2}^{\natural}, J_{2}^{\natural}\right)_{A_{1}} + \left(J_{3}^{\natural}, J_{3}^{\natural}\right)_{A_{1}}\right) , \quad k = -2, \text{ i.e. } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}_{4} , \end{split}$$

Can construct  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})]$  as Poisson subalgebra of functions on this cotangent bundle as before and affinize.

$$\begin{split} e_{\theta}(z) &= e^{\delta + \varphi} \ , \qquad e_{A}(z) = \xi_{A} \ e^{\frac{\delta + \varphi}{2}} \ , \qquad J_{\alpha}^{\natural}(z) \coloneqq T_{\alpha}^{AB} \ \xi_{A}\xi_{B} \quad \left(\mathfrak{g}^{\natural} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(\mathfrak{R})\right) \ . \\ h_{\theta}(z) &= \frac{k}{2} \partial \varphi \ , \qquad f_{\theta}(z) = \left(S^{\natural} - \left(\left(\frac{k}{2} \partial \delta\right)^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \partial^{2} \delta\right)\right) \left(e^{-(\delta + \varphi)}\right) \ , \\ S^{\natural} &= \begin{cases} (k+2)T^{\natural} \ , \qquad T^{\natural} = -T_{\mathsf{Sug}}[\mathfrak{g}^{\natural}] + \partial \xi \ \Omega^{-1}\xi \ , \quad k \neq -2 \ , \\ -\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(J_{1}^{\natural}, J_{1}^{\natural}\right)_{A_{1}} + \left(J_{2}^{\natural}, J_{2}^{\natural}\right)_{A_{1}} + \left(J_{3}^{\natural}, J_{3}^{\natural}\right)_{A_{1}}\right) \ , \qquad k = -2, \text{ i.e. } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}_{4} \ , \end{split}$$

Note half-integrality in lattice momentum correlated with number of symplectic boson insertions due to monodromy.

Can construct  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{O}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})]$  as Poisson subalgebra of functions on this cotangent bundle as before and affinize.

$$\begin{split} e_{\theta}(z) &= e^{\delta + \varphi} , \qquad e_{A}(z) = \xi_{A} \ e^{\frac{\delta + \varphi}{2}} , \qquad J_{\alpha}^{\natural}(z) \coloneqq T_{\alpha}^{AB} \xi_{A} \xi_{B} \quad (\mathfrak{g}^{\natural} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(\mathfrak{R})) \ . \\ h_{\theta}(z) &= \frac{k}{2} \partial \varphi \ , \quad f_{\theta}(z) = \left( S^{\natural} - \left( (\frac{k}{2} \partial \delta)^{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \partial^{2} \delta \right) \right) \left( e^{-(\delta + \varphi)} \right) \ , \\ S^{\natural} &= \begin{cases} (k+2)T^{\natural} \ , \qquad T^{\natural} = -T_{\mathsf{Sug}}[\mathfrak{g}^{\natural}] + \partial \xi \, \Omega^{-1} \xi \ , \quad k \neq -2 \ , \\ -\frac{1}{2} \left( (J_{1}^{\natural}, J_{1}^{\natural})_{A_{1}} + (J_{2}^{\natural}, J_{2}^{\natural})_{A_{1}} + (J_{3}^{\natural}, J_{3}^{\natural})_{A_{1}} \right) \ , \qquad k = -2, \text{ i.e. } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{d}_{4} \ , \end{split}$$

Note half-integrality in lattice momentum correlated with number of symplectic boson insertions due to monodromy.

Remark: These constructions are *super economical!* 58 bosons for  $e_8$ , compared to 248 (or 240 at critical level) from W-FF.

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

A beautiful further illustration of this philosophy in a highly nontrivial context comes from looking at the *rank-two* generalizations of the Deligne series SCFTs.

A beautiful further illustration of this philosophy in a highly nontrivial context comes from looking at the *rank-two* generalizations of the Deligne series SCFTs.

This time (for  $\mathfrak{g} \notin {\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4}$ ) these are the theories arising from *a pair* of D3 branes probing the same class of F-theory singularities as in the previous examples.

A beautiful further illustration of this philosophy in a highly nontrivial context comes from looking at the *rank-two* generalizations of the Deligne series SCFTs.

This time (for  $\mathfrak{g} \notin {\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4}$ ) these are the theories arising from *a pair* of D3 branes probing the same class of F-theory singularities as in the previous examples.

We will make use a small number of facts about these theories:

A beautiful further illustration of this philosophy in a highly nontrivial context comes from looking at the *rank-two* generalizations of the Deligne series SCFTs.

This time (for  $\mathfrak{g} \notin {\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4}$ ) these are the theories arising from *a pair* of D3 branes probing the same class of F-theory singularities as in the previous examples.

We will make use a small number of facts about these theories:

• Their Higgs branches are the (centered) *two-g-instanton* moduli spaces on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Correspondingly, their Higgs chiral rings are generated by moment maps for  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{g}$  global symmetry, along with R = 3/2 generators  $\omega$  in the  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{Adj})$ .

A beautiful further illustration of this philosophy in a highly nontrivial context comes from looking at the *rank-two* generalizations of the Deligne series SCFTs.

This time (for  $\mathfrak{g} \notin {\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4}$ ) these are the theories arising from *a pair* of D3 branes probing the same class of F-theory singularities as in the previous examples.

We will make use a small number of facts about these theories:

- Their Higgs branches are the (centered) *two-g-instanton* moduli spaces on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Correspondingly, their Higgs chiral rings are generated by moment maps for  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{g}$  global symmetry, along with R = 3/2 generators  $\omega$  in the  $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{Adj})$ .
- They are completely Higgsable, so no residual degrees of freedom.

A beautiful further illustration of this philosophy in a highly nontrivial context comes from looking at the *rank-two* generalizations of the Deligne series SCFTs.

This time (for  $\mathfrak{g} \notin {\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4}$ ) these are the theories arising from *a pair* of D3 branes probing the same class of F-theory singularities as in the previous examples.

We will make use a small number of facts about these theories:

- Their Higgs branches are the (centered) two-g-instanton moduli spaces on C<sup>2</sup>. Correspondingly, their Higgs chiral rings are generated by moment maps for su(2) × g global symmetry, along with R = 3/2 generators ω in the (2, Adj).
- They are completely Higgsable, so no residual degrees of freedom.
- Central charges and flavour symmetry levels computed by Aharony and Tachikawa.

# Rank two Deligne SCFTs

[CB, Meneghelli, Peelaers, Rastelli (to appear)]

g	$h^{\vee}$	$k_{2d}^{\mathfrak{g}}$	$k_{2d}^{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$	$c_{2d}$	${ ilde h}_{ m min}$	$a_{4d}$	$r_i$
$\mathfrak{g}(h^{\vee})$	$h^{\vee}$	$\frac{-h^{\vee}-6}{3}$	$\frac{-h^{\vee}-9}{6}$	$-11 - 5h^{\vee}$	$-\frac{9+9h^{\vee}}{24}$	$\frac{23+8h^{\vee}}{24}$	$\frac{h^{\vee}+6}{6}, \frac{h^{\vee}+6}{3}$
$\mathfrak{a}_0$	$\frac{6}{5}$		$-\frac{17}{10}$	-17	$-\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{163}{120}$	$\frac{6}{5}, \frac{12}{5}$
$\mathfrak{a}_1$	2	$-\frac{8}{3}$	$-\frac{11}{6}$	-21	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{13}{8}$	$\frac{4}{3}, \frac{8}{3}$
$\mathfrak{a}_2$	3	-3	-2	-26	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{47}{24}$	$\frac{3}{2}$ , 3
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	4	$-\frac{10}{3}$	$-\frac{13}{6}$	-31	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{55}{24}$	$\frac{5}{3}, \frac{10}{3}$
$\mathfrak{d}_4$	6	-4	$-\frac{5}{2}$	-41	-1	$\frac{71}{24}$	2, 4
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	9	-5	-3	-20	$-\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{95}{24}$	$\frac{5}{2}$ , 5
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	12	-6	$-\frac{7}{2}$	-71	-2	$\frac{119}{24}$	3, 6
¢7	18	-8	$-\frac{9}{2}$	-101	-3	$\frac{167}{24}$	4, 8
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	30	-12	$-\frac{13}{2}$	-161	-5	$\frac{263}{24}$	6, 12

Remark: Central charges satisfy  $c_{2d} = c_{Sug}^{\mathfrak{g}} + c_{Sug}^{\mathfrak{su}(2)}$  except for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(3)$ , where both current algebras are at critical level!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Centred two-g-instanton moduli spaces for  $\mathfrak{g} \in {\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \mathfrak{d}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{e}_8}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{1})} &= 0 \;, \qquad 4\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{1})} = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{1})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{Y}_{2}^{*})} &= 0 \;, \qquad \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{Adj})} = 4\,\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{Adj})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{3} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{X}_{2})} &= b_{2} \, \omega^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{X}_{2})} \;, \qquad \omega^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{Y}_{2}^{*})} = -\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{Y}_{2}^{*})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{3} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{Adj})} &= b_{1} \, \omega^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{Adj})} \;, \qquad \omega^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})} = -\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{3} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{Y}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*})} = 0 \;, \end{split}$$

 $\{X_k,Y_k,Y_k^*\}$  uniform notations for representations in the Deligne series [Cohen, de Man]

Centred two-g-instanton moduli spaces for  $\mathfrak{g} \in {\mathfrak{a}_0, \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \mathfrak{d}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{e}_8}$ .

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{1})} &= 0 \;, \qquad 4\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{1})} = \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{1})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{Y}_{2}^{*})} &= 0 \;, \qquad \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{Adj})} = 4\,\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \, \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},\mathbf{Adj})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{3} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{X}_{2})} &= b_{2} \, \omega^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{X}_{2})} \;, \qquad \omega^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{Y}_{2}^{*})} = -\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{Y}_{2}^{*})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{3} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{Adj})} &= b_{1} \, \omega^{2} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{Adj})} \;, \qquad \omega^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})} = -\mu_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} \, \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{2} \Big|_{(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1})} \;, \\ \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{3} \Big|_{(0,\mathbf{Y}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*})} = 0 \;, \end{split}$$

 $\{X_k,Y_k,Y_k^*\}$  uniform notations for representations in the Deligne series [Cohen, de Man]

What a mess!

From the point of view of the F-theory construction, it is clear that this Higgs branch has the structure of two copies of the one-instanton moduli space, fibred over  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .



From the point of view of the F-theory construction, it is clear that this Higgs branch has the structure of two copies of the one-instanton moduli space, fibred over  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .



This will be the most instructive way to think about them.

From the point of view of the F-theory construction, it is clear that this Higgs branch has the structure of two copies of the one-instanton moduli space, fibred over  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .



This will be the most instructive way to think about them.

Indeed, we adopt an intermediate point of view: try to build a free field realization in terms of the effective field theory on the locus where the residual theory is two copies of the rank-one SCFT!

So we will have a construction in terms of

• Two copies of the rank-one Deligne VOA  $V_{\underline{-h^{\vee}-6}}\left(\mathfrak{g}\right)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_{1,2}^A(z) \;, \quad A=1,\ldots,\dim\mathfrak{g} \;.$$

• Isotropic subalgebra of the Lorentzian lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$\bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( V_{\partial \varphi} \otimes V_{\partial \delta} \right) e^{\frac{n}{2} (\delta + \varphi)} .$$

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

So we will have a construction in terms of

• Two copies of the rank-one Deligne VOA  $V_{\underline{-h^{\vee}-6}}\left(\mathfrak{g}\right)$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_{1,2}^A(z) \;, \quad A=1,\ldots,\dim\mathfrak{g} \;.$$

• Isotropic subalgebra of the Lorentzian lattice VOA  $\mathbb{V}\Pi_{1,1}$ :

$$\bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( V_{\partial \varphi} \otimes V_{\partial \delta} \right) e^{\frac{n}{2}(\delta+\varphi)}$$

Can further express rank-one VOAs using the previous free field realization, since there all nulls vanish identically.

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{g,2}} = 2h^{\vee} - 1 = 2(h^{\vee} - 1) + 1$$

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Free field realization:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^{A}(z) &= \left(\mathcal{J}_{1}^{A} + \mathcal{J}_{2}^{A}\right), \\ j_{++}(z) &= e^{\delta(z) + \varphi(z)}, \\ j_{+-}(z) &= \frac{k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}}{2} \, \partial \varphi(z), \\ j_{--}(z) &= \left(-S^{\natural} + \left(\left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}}{2} \, \partial \delta\right)^{2} - \frac{k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}(k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} + 1)}{2} \, \partial^{2} \delta\right)\right) \left(e^{-(\delta + \varphi)}\right). \\ S^{\natural} &= (k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} + 2) \left(T_{1}^{\mathrm{Sug}} + T_{2}^{\mathrm{Sug}} - T_{12}^{\mathrm{Sug}}\right), \\ \mathcal{W}^{A}_{+}(z) &= \left(\mathcal{J}_{1}^{A} - \mathcal{J}_{2}^{A}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta(z) + \varphi(z))}, \\ \mathcal{W}^{A}_{-}(z) &= \left(-\mathcal{U}^{A}(z) - \left(\mathcal{J}_{1}^{A} - \mathcal{J}_{2}^{A}\right) \frac{k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)}}{2} \, \partial \delta(z)\right) \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta(z) + \varphi(z))}\right), \\ \mathcal{U}^{A} &= \left(-\frac{4(2 + k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)})^{2}}{k^{\mathfrak{g} + h^{\vee}}}\right) \frac{1}{2} \, i f_{BC}^{A} \, \mathcal{J}_{1}^{B} \, \mathcal{J}_{2}^{C} + k^{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\frac{k_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} + 2}{k^{\mathfrak{g} + h^{\vee}}}\right) \, \partial(\mathcal{J}_{1}^{A} - \mathcal{J}_{2}^{A}), \end{split}$$

Form of generators completely fixed by filtration-compatible Ansatz and basic closure requirements.

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

We find a unique expression for the non-trivial  $W \times W$  OPE such that algebra closes on Higgs branch generators (plus stress tensor in case of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(3)$ )

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}^{A}_{\alpha}(z) \ \mathcal{W}^{B}_{\beta}(w) \ \sim \ \frac{c_{1} \ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \ \kappa^{AB}}{(z-w)^{3}} \ + \ \frac{ic_{2} \ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \ f^{AB}{}_{C} \ \mathcal{J}^{C}(w) + c_{3} \ \kappa^{AB} \ j_{\alpha\beta}(w)}{(z-w)^{2}} \\ + \ \frac{1}{z-w} \Big( ic_{4} \ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \ f^{AB}{}_{C} \ \partial \mathcal{J}^{C}(w) + c_{5} \ \kappa^{AB} \ \partial j_{\alpha\beta}(w) \\ & + c_{6} \ \kappa^{AB} \ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \ (jj)(w) + ic_{7} \ f^{AB}{}_{C} \ (j_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{J}^{C})(w) \\ & + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \Big( c_{8} \ \mathbb{1}^{(AB)}(w) + c_{9} \ \mathbb{Y}^{(AB)}_{2}(w) + c_{10} \ \mathbb{Y}^{*(AB)}_{2}(w) \Big) \Big) \,. \end{split}$$

where coefficients take fixed form in terms of  $h^{\vee}$ :

$$\begin{split} c_1 &= 1 \;, \qquad c_2 = -\frac{3}{6+h^{\vee}} \;, \qquad c_3 = \frac{6}{9+h^{\vee}} \;, \qquad c_4 = -\frac{3}{2(6+h^{\vee})} \;, \qquad c_5 = \frac{3}{9+h^{\vee}} \;, \\ c_6 &= -\frac{9}{(-3+h^{\vee})(9+h^{\vee})} \;, \qquad c_7 = -\frac{18}{(6+h^{\vee})(9+h^{\vee})} \;, \qquad c_8 = -\frac{9h^{\vee}}{2(-3+h^{\vee})(6+h^{\vee})} \;, \\ c_9 &= -\frac{9}{(6+h^{\vee})(9+h^{\vee})} \;, \qquad c_{10} = \frac{3}{6+h^{\vee}} \;. \end{split}$$

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

String Math 2019, Uppsala Universitet

1 July 2019 31 / 36

These VOAs are now equipped with filtrations inherited from those of their free field spaces, which allows us to see some interesting structure that is obscure at the level of the unfiltered VOA.

These VOAs are now equipped with filtrations inherited from those of their free field spaces, which allows us to see some interesting structure that is obscure at the level of the unfiltered VOA.

Consider Higgs branch relation  $\mu_g \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},1)} = 0$ . In our free field realization, we have

 $\kappa_{AB} \mathcal{J}^A \mathcal{W}^B_+ \sim (T_1^{\text{Sug}} + T_2^{\text{Sug}}) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \varphi)}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

These VOAs are now equipped with filtrations inherited from those of their free field spaces, which allows us to see some interesting structure that is obscure at the level of the unfiltered VOA.

Consider Higgs branch relation  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},1)} = 0$ . In our free field realization, we have

$$\kappa_{AB} \mathcal{J}^A \mathcal{W}^B_+ \sim (T_1^{\mathrm{Sug}} + T_2^{\mathrm{Sug}}) e^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \varphi)}$$

Not null, but lives in the  $R \leq \frac{3}{2}$  component of the *R*-filtration due to the rank-one filtration. In associated graded we recover the Higgs branch relation!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

These VOAs are now equipped with filtrations inherited from those of their free field spaces, which allows us to see some interesting structure that is obscure at the level of the unfiltered VOA.

Consider Higgs branch relation  $\mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \omega \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},1)} = 0$ . In our free field realization, we have

 $\kappa_{AB}\mathcal{J}^A\mathcal{W}^B_+ \sim (T_1^{\mathrm{Sug}} + T_2^{\mathrm{Sug}})e^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\varphi)}$ .

Not null, but lives in the  $R \leq \frac{3}{2}$  component of the *R*-filtration due to the rank-one filtration. In associated graded we recover the Higgs branch relation!

These sorts of delicate rearrangements in the associated graded are a *hallmark of the physical* R-*filtration*.

## Comments on irreducible pieces

I have focused on cases where the Higgs branch theory is entirely geometric.
#### Comments on irreducible pieces

I have focused on cases where the Higgs branch theory is entirely geometric.

An important generalization comes from cases where the low-energy physics of the Higgs phase is not completely encoded in the geometry of the Higgs branch, but there are residual interacting degrees of freedom, *e.g.*,

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM with gauge algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  supports  $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$  free vector multiplets.
- Class S theories of type g for genus  $g \ge 1$  support  $g \times r_g$  free vector multiplets.
- $(A_1, D_{2n+1})$  Argyres-Douglas supports  $(A_1, A_{2n-2})$  theory.
- Rank- $n H_0$  theory supports (rank-1  $H_0$ )<sup> $\otimes n$ </sup>.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Comments on irreducible pieces

I have focused on cases where the Higgs branch theory is entirely geometric.

An important generalization comes from cases where the low-energy physics of the Higgs phase is not completely encoded in the geometry of the Higgs branch, but there are residual interacting degrees of freedom, *e.g.*,

- $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM with gauge algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  supports  $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$  free vector multiplets.
- Class S theories of type g for genus  $g \ge 1$  support  $g \times r_g$  free vector multiplets.
- $(A_1, D_{2n+1})$  Argyres-Douglas supports  $(A_1, A_{2n-2})$  theory.
- Rank- $n H_0$  theory supports (rank-1  $H_0$ )<sup> $\otimes n$ </sup>.

In these cases, the free field realization is equipped with an extra factor of the  $C_2$  co-finite VOA associated to those residual degrees of freedom.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Applications and Extensions

イロト 不良 とくほとくほう

#### Applications and Extensions

For theories with sufficiently nice Higgs branches, our approach gives a powerful alternative to "bootstrapping" the associated VOA.
 Essentially all linear quiver SCFTs can be understood by a recursive application of some of the techniques mentioned here.
 Non-Lagrangian class S theories such as T<sub>4</sub> seem to be accessible as well. New viewpoint on so-called *Moore-Tachikawa symplectic varieties*.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Applications and Extensions

- For theories with sufficiently nice Higgs branches, our approach gives a powerful alternative to "bootstrapping" the associated VOA.
  Essentially all linear quiver SCFTs can be understood by a recursive application of some of the techniques mentioned here.
  Non-Lagrangian class S theories such as T<sub>4</sub> seem to be accessible as well. New viewpoint on so-called *Moore-Tachikawa symplectic varieties*.
- Examples I discussed all had "fibration over  $\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ " in the geometry. Generalizes to other canonical singularities.

Should also generalize to more general transverse slices to nilpotent orbits.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

**Open Questions** 

Christopher Beem (Oxford)

String Math 2019, Uppsala Universitet

▲ E ► E ∽ Q (~ 1 July 2019 35 / 36

イロト 不良 とくほとくほう

#### **Open Questions**

 A more sophisticated way to identify the right subalgebras of our free-field spaces? In some examples, there is a screening charge characterization. Four-dimensional physics interpretation of screening charges?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### **Open Questions**

- A more sophisticated way to identify the right subalgebras of our free-field spaces? In some examples, there is a screening charge characterization.
   Four-dimensional physics interpretation of screening charges?
- What are the allowed irreducible building blocks/ $C_2$ -cofinite VOAs? Conjecture that these should be highly constrained by four-dimensional unitarity. More generally, unitarity constrains allowed Higgs branches.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### **Open Questions**

- A more sophisticated way to identify the right subalgebras of our free-field spaces? In some examples, there is a screening charge characterization.
   Four-dimensional physics interpretation of screening charges?
- What are the allowed irreducible building blocks/ $C_2$ -cofinite VOAs? Conjecture that these should be highly constrained by four-dimensional unitarity. More generally, unitarity constrains allowed Higgs branches.
- What role is four-dimensionality playing in this story? Some evidence of a similar for associated varieties/free field realizations beyond cases arising from (unitary) four dimensional physics.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Tack så mycket!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで